UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,271	06/21/2001	Robert Y. Greenberg	7293-15	8636

20575 7590 11/29/2005	EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.	TRAN, TRANG U	
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400		
PORTLAND, OR 97204	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2614	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/888,271	GREENBERG, ROBERT Y.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trang U. Tran	2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005</u>.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-17* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-17* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   The indication of allowability set forth in the previous action is withdrawn and

prosecution is reopened in view of the following new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3.   Claims 1, 3-6 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Cappels, Sr. (US Patent No. 5,731,843) in view of Levantovsky et al.

(US Patent No. 6,522,365 B1).

        In considering claim 1, Cappels, Sr. discloses all the claimed subject matter, note

1) the claimed a phase locked loop circuit to generate a phase locked loop clock

responsive to a reference signal is met by the pixel sampling clock 55 which is a

conventional phase-locked loop using a programmable divider and coupled to the

horizontal sync pulse (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 35-42), 2) the claimed an edge detector circuit

to generate an edge pulse signal corresponding to a transition of an analog data signal

is met by the differentiator 52 and the threshold detector 44 which is function together to

detect voltage transitions between pixel instructions, also called pixels edges (Fig. 3,

col. 4, lines 42-59), 3) the claimed a phase detector circuit to generate a phase adjust

signal responsive to a phase of the phase locked loop clock and the edge pulse signal

is met by the phase comparator 46 and the microprocessor 48 (Fig. 3, col. 4, line 60 to

col. 5, line 27), and 4) the claimed a phase adjust circuit to generate a pixel clock

responsive to the phase adjust signal and the phase locked loop clock is met by the

phase adjuster 50 which produces an adjusted pixel sampling clock 64 that matches the

phase of the video signal 52 (Figs. 3 and 4, col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 31).

However, Cappels, Sr. explicitly does not disclose the claimed an edge detector

circuit to generate an edge pulse signal responsive to a pixel clock.

Levantovsky et al teach that referring to FIG. 2, a pixel clock 50 used in sampling

the active video portion 36 of an analog signal 10 and converting to a digital signal (not

shown) for display on a digital display (e.g., a flat panel display (FPD)) is shown in

various phase relationships with the active video signal 10 (Fig. 2, col. 4, line 48 to col.

5, line 51) and the edge detection module 64 has an input 76 couple to the output 74 of

the ADC 62 and an output 78 to provide the pixel coordinates of the earliest on-

transition and latest off-transition times for each video frame (Fig. 7, col. 6, line 55 to

col. 7, line 19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention to incorporate the pixel clock used in sampling the active video portion

of an analog signal and the edge detection module as taught by Levantovsky et al into

Cappels, Sr.'s system in order to accurately adjusting the frequency and phase of the

pixel clock.

In considering claim 3, the claimed wherein the reference signal is a horizontal

synchronization signal is met by the pixel sampling clock 55 which is a conventional

phase-locked loop using a programmable divider and coupled to the horizontal sync

pulse (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 35-42 of Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 4, the claimed wherein the edge detector is adapted to

generate an edge pulse corresponding to the transition of the analog data signal above

a predetermined threshold is met by the differentiator 95 and the threshold detector 100

which is function together to produce the pulse signal if the applied voltage pulse

exceeding a predetermined threshold voltage (Figs. 3 and 5, col. 2, lines 5-28 and col.

6, lines 32-57 of Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 5, the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al

discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above,

except for providing the claimed wherein the threshold is programmable. The capability

of using the threshold is programmable is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the

Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known of using the threshold is

programmable into the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al's system in

order to accurately detect the edge pulse signal because programmable device can

easily adjust the threshold level to appropriate level.

In considering claim 6, the claimed wherein the edge detector generates an edge

pulse corresponding to a rising, falling, or both rising and falling edges of the analog

data signal is met by the voltage transition location 20 which occurs between a change

in voltage levels and between discrete pixel intensities 19 on video signal 12 (Fig. 1, col.

3, lines 6-43 of Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 11, Cappels, Sr. discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed wherein the phase adjust circuit generates a plurality of delayed clock signals by delaying the phase locked loop clock is met by the phase adjuster (phase shift of Fig. 4) 50 which produces an adjusted pixel sampling clock 64 that matches the phase of the video signal 52 (Figs. 3 and 4, col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 31), 2) the claimed wherein the phase detector comprises: a phase hit detector to generate a plurality of phase hit enable signals corresponding to the plurality of delayed clock signals and assert one of the phase hit enable signals responsive to the edge pulse signal is met by the hit detection process (Fig. 5, col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 27 and col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 37), and 3) the claimed a phase hit counter to count asserted phase hit enable signals for each of the delayed clock signals over a predetermined time is met the microprocessor 48 stores in memory 49 a number representing the total hits for that specific phase (col. 5, lines 3-27).

In considering claim 12, the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the predetermined time is a number of image scan lines. The capability of using the predetermined time is a number of image scan lines is old and well known in the art. Therefore, the Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known of using the predetermined time is a number of image scan lines into the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al's system since it merely amounts to selecting an alternative equivalent edge detector.

In considering claim 13, the claimed wherein the phase hit detector comprises: a

plurality of flip-flop circuits corresponding to the plurality of delayed clock signals

adapted to generate a corresponding plurality of phase out signals, and a comparison

circuit to comparing the plurality of phase out signals is met by the comparator 99 which

includes a one-shot pulse generator 81 and latches (flip-flops) 82 and 84 (Fig. 5, col. 6,

line 58 to col. 7, line 37 of Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 14, the claimed wherein the comparison circuit compares

adjacent phase out signals is met by the comparator 99 which includes a one-shot pulse

generator 81 and latches (flip-flops) 82 and 84 (Fig. 5, col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 37 of

Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 15, Cappels, Sr. discloses all the claimed subject matter,

note 1) the claimed wherein the phase hit counter comprises: an enable signal to enable

counting of asserted phase hit enable signals is met by the Q-output pulse 108 from the

one-shot pulse generator 81 is true, data line 112 is set high, indicating that a "hit", a

sampling edge in close temporal proximity to a video transition, has taken place (Fig. 5,

col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 37), and 2) the claimed a clear signal to clear the phase hit

counter is met by the NOT-Q output 118 of one-shot pulse generator 81, the latch 82 is

reset to await for the next edge detection (Fig. 5, col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 37) .

In considering claim 16, the claimed comprising: a phase count analysis circuit to

generate phase and frequency adjust signals by analyzing the count of asserted phase

hit enable signals is met by the microprocessor 48 which calculates a hit percentage for

each varies phase and the hit percentage is the number of hits for a given number of

video edges at a given phase to obtain which phase is the maximum number of hits,

then the microprocessor 48 can determine the phase of the sampling clock with respect

to the horizontal synchronization pulse that will provide the optimum sampling of the

incoming video signal 52 (Fig. 4, col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 31 of Cappels, Sr.).

In considering claim 17, the claimed comprising an auto calibration circuit to align

the analog data signal with the pixel clock is met by the automatically adjusting the pixel

sampling clock frequency and phase to match the frequency and phase of the pixel

clock used to generate an incoming video signal (col. 1, lines 60-64 of Cappels, Sr.).

4.   Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cappels,

Sr. (US Patent No. 5,731,843) in view of Levantovsky et al. (US Patent No. 6,522,365

B1), and further in view of Koike et al (US Patent No. 6,538,648 B1).

In considering claim 2, the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al

discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above,

except for providing the claimed wherein the phase locked loop circuit comprises: a

phase detector adapted to receive the reference signal; a loop filter coupled to the

phase detector; a voltage controlled oscillator coupled to the loop filter; a feedback loop

adapted to receive the phase locked loop clock and provide a feedback signal

responsive to a frequency adjust signal. Koike et al teach that the PLL circuit 40

comprises a phase detection unit 41, an LPF (Low pass Filter) 42, a VCO (Voltage

Control Oscillator) 43, and a frequency divider 44, as is well known (Fig. 2, col. 6, lines

46-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known PLL as taught by Koike et al

into the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al's system in order to generate

the clock signal that is synchronized with the local frequency oscillator.

5.   Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cappels, Sr. (US Patent No. 5,731,843) in view of Levantovsky et al. (US Patent No.

6,522,365 B1), and further in view of Ichiraku (US Patent No. 6,097,379).

         In considering claim 7, the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al

discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above,

except for providing the claimed wherein the phase adjust circuit adjusts the phase of

the pixel clock by delaying the reference signal. Ichiraku teaches that as is shown in Fig.

3, the phase adjusting circuit 2 of the present invention is provided with: a sampling

clock generating circuit for detection 21, into which a standard clock (PCLK) which is

synchronized with a horizontal synchronizing signal is inputted, and which divides this

standard clock (PCLK) into a number m (a positive integer) of standard clocks, and

which applies, with respect to these standard clocks, a delay amount proportional to the

amount of the cycle thereof divided by m, and which generates and outputs, in stages, a

number m of sampling clocks for detection (DPCLK [0,1,2,...,m]) having different

phases (Fig. 3, col. 8, line 43 to col. 9, line 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the delay

clocks (DPCLK [0,1,2,...,m]) having different phases as taught by Ichiraku into the

combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al's system in order to accurately

produce the sampling clock signal having an appropriate phase for sampling pixel data

of the video signal.

In considering claim 8, the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al discloses all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the phase adjust circuit adjusts the phase of the pixel clock by delaying the phase locked loop clock. Ichiraku teaches that as is shown in Fig. 3, the phase adjusting circuit 2 of the present invention is provided with: a sampling clock generating circuit for detection 21, into which a standard clock (PCLK) which is synchronized with a horizontal synchronizing signal is inputted, and which divides this standard clock (PCLK) into a number m (a positive integer) of standard clocks, and which applies, with respect to these standard clocks, a delay amount proportional to the amount of the cycle thereof divided by m, and which generates and outputs, in stages, a number m of sampling clocks for detection (DPCLK [0,1,2,...,m]) having different phases (Fig. 3, col. 8, line 43 to col. 9, line 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the delay clocks (DPCLK[0,1,2,...,m]) having different phases as taught by Ichiraku into the combination of Cappels, Sr. and Levantovsky et al's system in order to accurately produce the sampling clock signal having an appropriate phase for sampling pixel data of the video signal.

In considering claim 9, Ichiraku discloses the claimed wherein the phase adjust circuit comprises: a clock delay circuit to generate a plurality of delayed clock signals by delaying the phase locked loop clock is met by the delay clocks (DPCLK [0,1,2,...,m]) having different phases (Fig. 3, col. 8, line 43 to col. 9, line 40 of Ichiraku), and the claimed a multiplexer to select one of the plurality of delayed clock signals as the pixel

clock responsive to a phase adjust signal is met by the selecting circuit 22 which selects

the appropriate sampling clock for detection and outputs this as the sampling clock

(SCLK) to the pixel data sampling circuit (Fig. 3, col. 8, line 43 to col. 9, line 40 of

Ichiraku).

In considering claim 10, the claimed wherein the clock delay circuit comprises an

n-stage delay locked loop, each stage generating a corresponding delayed clock phase,

each delayed clock phase being 360/n degrees out of phase is met by the delay clocks

(DPCLK [0,1,2,...,m]) having different phases (Fig. 3, col. 8, line 43 to col. 9, line 40 of

Ichiraku).

6.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7358. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT TT
November 18, 2005

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600